Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/641,469		HERST ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Y Quach Lee		2875	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Y Quach Lee.	(3)			
(2) Mr. Donald L. Beeson.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>04 September 2003</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's re	epresentative	]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 4- 7, 14, 21, 22, 34, and 39-44</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: None.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)□ was not reac	hed. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>	<u>t</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amend	examiner ag Iments that v	reed would rend vould render the	ler the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, O FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	ne last Office action R THE MAILING D OF THE SUBSTAI	n has already ATE OF THI NCE OF THE	SINTERVIEW S	SUMMARY
	-			
		•		
-				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	E)	caminer's sig	nature, if require	ed

Application No. 09/641,469

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 11, 14, 21 and 34 were discussed. The language "an active light source", "a light source", and "a brightness reduction cover element" has been proposed to change to "at least one active light source", "at least one light source", and "at least one brightness reduction cover element". Claims 4-7, 12, 13 and 38-44 previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CRF 1.142 have been rejoined since these claims depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of the allowed generic claims 1 and 34.